## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/509,167	REHSE, HEINO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
EDWARD PARK	2624	

	EDWARD PARK	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) Me The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TW		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension at have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2 set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pepty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely finally results of the final rejection, even if timely finally results of the final rejection, even if timely finally results of the final rejection of t					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);			
appeal; and/or			10 100000 101		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CPK 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).      Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 4-6.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefree season the date of Cross - No.		to a section of		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fair showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu SEE ATTACHMENT SHEET.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
/Vikkram Bali/	(Edward Dark)				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Edward Park/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)